



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,542	01/18/2002	Hiroyuki Wada	218282US6X	6029
22850	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
	SIREEI RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2877	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/050,542	WADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard A Rosenberger	2877	pw				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•• ,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
<u>_</u>	ar						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	V						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/050,542

Art Unit: 2877

- 1. Claims 4-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because multiple dependent claims may not depend from another multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. Claims 1-3 appear to be allowable. The art does not appear to teach or suggest the system as claimed in which there is a visible light system for photographing a surface image of a polysilicon film to effect auto-focusing and a UV light system to observe the polysilicon film auto-focused by the visible light with evaluation means to evaluate the polysilicon film based upon the UV observation.
- 3. Wada et al (US 6,673,639) is a related patent which shows a system for evaluating a polysilicon film using images obtained using UV light. This patent does not describe the claimed auto-focus arrangement of the instant claims in combination with the system. Thakur et al (US 5,825,498) and Hirae et al (US 5,314,831) shows evaluating silicon films using UV light. Neither shows the claimed auto-focus arrangement.

Price et al (US 5,548,661) shows a microscope used for evaluating of samples in which auto-focus is effected using the image; this reference does not show the uses of visible light for the auto-focusing and UV light for the evaluation, but uses the same light form both. Kobayashi (US 6,094,223) and Fiete et al also shows the

Art Unit: 2877

use of image processing to effect auto-focusing, but do not show the use of visible of auto-focusing and UV for some type of evaluation.

4. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 1 March 2004

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner Page 3